Lecture 4: Optimization: Stochastic Gradient Descent Momentum, AdaGrad, Adam Learning Rate Schedules

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Sep 12, 2024

Reminders

- Homework 1 due Thursday, Sept 26,11:55pm via Gradescope
	- Upload homework well in advance
	- Check late day policy
- Optional **discussion section** this Friday, Sept 13, 11-12am, CS 142
	- Python setup, Google collab, Basics of Python & Numpy will discuss array indexing and slicing
	- Schedule for the remaining discussion sections listed on the lectures page

Recap

- We have some dataset of (x,y)
- We have a **score function:**
- We have a **loss function**:

$$
\begin{aligned} L_i &= -\log(\frac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}) \qquad \qquad \text{SVM} \\ L_i &= \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1) \\ L &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i + R(W) \text{ Full loss} \end{aligned}
$$

$$
s=f(x;W)\overset{\mathrm{e.g.}}{=} Wx
$$

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Sep 12, 2024

Strategy #1: A first very bad idea solution: **Random search**

```
# assume X train is the data where each column is an example (e.g. 3073 x 50,000)
# assume Y train are the labels (e.g. 1D array of 50,000)
# assume the function L evaluates the loss function
bestloss = float("inf") # Python assigns the highest possible float value
for num in xrange(1000):
 W = np.random.randn(10, 3073) * 0.0001 # generate random parametersloss = L(X \text{ train}, Y \text{ train}, W) # get the loss over the entire training set
 if loss < bestloss: # keep track of the best solution
   bestloss = lossbestW = Wprint 'in attempt %d the loss was %f, best %f' % (num, loss, bestloss)
# prints:
# in attempt 0 the loss was 9.401632, best 9.401632
# in attempt 1 the loss was 8.959668, best 8.959668
# in attempt 2 the loss was 9.044034, best 8.959668
# in attempt 3 the loss was 9.278948, best 8.959668
# in attempt 4 the loss was 8.857370, best 8.857370
# in attempt 5 the loss was 8.943151, best 8.857370
# in attempt 6 the loss was 8.605604, best 8.605604
# ... (trunctated: continues for 1000 lines)
```
Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Sep 12, 2024

Let's see how well this works on the test set...

Assume X test is [3073 x 10000], Y test [10000 x 1] scores = Whest.dot(Xte cols) # 10 x 10000, the class scores for all test examples # find the index with max score in each column (the predicted class) Yte predict = $np. argmax(scores, axis = 0)$ # and calculate accuracy (fraction of predictions that are correct) $np.macan(Yte predict == Yte)$ # returns θ . 1555

15.5% accuracy! not bad! (SOTA is ~95%)

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Sepe slides kindly provided by Fei Fei Litting Wu, Frik Learned Miller **Sep 12, 2024** Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller 5

Strategy #2: **Follow the slope**

Random search **Follow** the slope

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs $\frac{1}{2}$ Come slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs

Sep 10, 2024

Strategy #2: **Follow the slope**

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Some slides kindly provided by Fei Egi Li, ligiup Wu, Frik Learned Miller
Some slides kindly provided by Fei Egi Li, ligiup Wu, Frik Learned Miller Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller 7

Strategy #2: **Follow the slope**

In 1-dimension, the derivative of a function:

$$
\frac{df(x)}{dx}=\lim_{h\rightarrow 0}\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

In multiple dimensions, the **gradient** is the vector of (partial derivatives).

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs

Sep 12, 2024 Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller 8

Numerical evaluation of the gradient...

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Lindium Wu, Frik Learned-Miller **Sep 12, 2024** Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller 9

gradient dW:

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li Jiajun Wu, Frik Learned-Miller
Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li Jiajun Wu, Frik Learned-Miller Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

gradient dW:

 \cdot .]

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Lindiup Wu, Frik Learned-Miller **Sep 12, 2024** Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

[-2.5, 0.6, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?,…]

gradient dW:

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li Jiajun Wu, Frik Learned-Miller
Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li Jiajun Wu, Frik Learned-Miller Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

current W:

[0.34, -1.11, 0.78, 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,…] **loss 1.25347**

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Seperation kindly provided by Fei Ecil Ligiup Wy, Frik Learned Miller **Sep 12, 2024** Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller 17

gradient dW:

Evaluating the gradient numerically

$$
\frac{df(x)}{dx}=\lim_{h\rightarrow 0}\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

```
def eval numerical gradient(f, x):
  and and
  a naive implementation of numerical gradient of f at x
  - f should be a function that takes a single argument
```
- x is the point (numpy array) to evaluate the gradient at **BOOT**

 $\mathsf{fx = f(x)}$ # evaluate function value at original point $grad = np{\cdot}zeros(x,\text{shape})$ $h = 0.00001$

iterate over all indexes in x

 $it = np.nditer(x, flags=['multi index'], op flags=['readwrite'])$ while not it.finished:

evaluate function at x+h

 $ix = it.multi index$ old value = $x[ix]$ $x[ix] = old value + h # increment by h$ $fxh = f(x)$ # evalute $f(x + h)$ $x(ix) = old value # restore to previous value (very important!)$

compute the partial derivative $grad(ix) = (fxh - fx) / h # the slope$ it.iternext() # step to next dimension

return grad

Evaluating the gradient numerically

$$
\frac{df(x)}{dx}=\lim_{h\rightarrow 0}\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

- approximate
- very slow to evaluate

```
def eval numerical gradient(f, x):
  0.000a naive implementation of numerical gradient of f at x
  - f should be a function that takes a single argument
  - x is the point (numpy array) to evaluate the gradient at
  BOOT
```
 $\mathsf{fx = f(x)}$ # evaluate function value at original point $grad = np{\cdot}zeros(x,\text{shape})$ $h = 0.00001$

iterate over all indexes in x

 $it = np.nditer(x, flags=['multi index'], op flags=['readwrite'])$ while not it.finished:

```
# evaluate function at x+h
```
 $ix = it.multi index$ old value = $x[ix]$ $x[ix] = old value + h # increment by h$ $fxh = f(x)$ # evalute $f(x + h)$ $x[ix] = old value # restore to previous value (very important!)$

compute the partial derivative $\text{grad}[\text{ix}] = (\text{fx} - \text{fx}) / h \# \text{the slope}$ it.iternext() # step to next dimension

return grad

The loss is just a function of W:

$$
\begin{aligned} L &= \tfrac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N L_i + \sum_k W_k^2 \\ L_i &= \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1) \\ s &= f(x; W) = Wx \end{aligned}
$$

want $\nabla_W L$

Use calculus to compute an **analytic gradient**

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Some slides kindly provided by Fei Egi Li, ligiup Wu, Frik Learned Miller
Some slides kindly provided by Fei Egi Li, ligiup Wu, Frik Learned Miller Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

Retropolis

During a pandemic, Isaac Newton had to work from home, too. He used the time wisely.

A later portrait of Sir Isaac Newton by Samuel Freeman. (British Library/National Endowment for the Humanities)

By Gillian Brockell

Isaac Newton was in his early 20s when the Great Plague of London hit. He wasn't a "Sir" yet, didn't

- 1. Developed calculus
- 2. Fundamentals of optics
- 3. Theory of gravity

...not too shabby!

In summary:

- Numerical gradient: approximate, slow, easy to write
- Analytic gradient: exact, fast, error-prone

In practice: Always use analytic gradient, but check implementation with numerical gradient. This is called a **gradient check.**

Gradient Descent

```
# Vanilla Gradient Descent
while True:
  weights grad = evaluate gradient(loss fun, data, weights)
  weights += - step size * weights grad # perform parameter update
```


Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Frik Learned-Miller
Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Frik Learned-Miller Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

Sep 12, 2024 Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller Lecture 4 - 25

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

$$
L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(x_i, y_i, W) + \lambda R(W)
$$

$$
\nabla_W L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_W L_i(x_i, y_i, W) + \lambda \nabla_W R(W)
$$

Full sum expensive when N is large!

Approximate sum using a **minibatch** of examples 32 / 64 / 128 common

Lecture $4 - 26$

```
# Vanilla Minibatch Gradient Descent
while True:
  data batch = sample training data(data, 256) # sample 256 examples
  weights grad = evaluate gradient (loss fun, data batch, weights)
  weights += - step size * weights grad # perform parameter update
```
Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Sepe slides kindly provided by Fei Eq. Li ligiup Wy. Frik Learned Miller.
Sepe slides kindly provided by Fei Eq. Li ligiup Wy. Frik Learned Miller. Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

Example of optimization progress while training a neural network.

(Loss over mini-batches goes down over time.)

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Some slides kindly provided by Fei Egi Li, ligiup Wu, Frik Learned Miller
Some slides kindly provided by Fei Egi Li, ligiup Wu, Frik Learned Miller Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

What if loss changes quickly in one direction and slowly in another? What does gradient descent do?

Aside: Loss function has high **condition number**: ratio of largest to smallest singular value of the Hessian matrix is large

What if loss changes quickly in one direction and slowly in another? What does gradient descent do?

Very slow progress along shallow dimension, jitter along steep direction

Loss function has high **condition number**: ratio of largest to smallest singular value of the Hessian matrix is large

What if the loss function has a **local minima** or **saddle point**?

What if the loss function has a **local minima** or **saddle point**?

Zero gradient, gradient descent gets stuck

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Seme elider kindly provided by Fei Ecil Ligiup Wy, Frik Lecture 2 - 31 April 05, 2022 Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

What if the loss function has a **local minima** or **saddle point**?

Saddle points much more common in high dimension

Dauphin et al, "Identifying and attacking the saddle point problem in high-dimensional non-convex optimization", NIPS 2014

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Seme elides kindly provided by Fei Ecil Libius Wu, Frik Lecture 3 - 32 April 05, 2022 Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

saddle point in two dimension

$$
f(x,y)=x^{2}-y^{2}\,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2-y^2)=2x\rightarrow 2(0)=0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x^2-y^2)=-2y\to -2(0)=0
$$

Image source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saddle_point

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Lecture 3 - 33 April 05, 2022

Our gradients come from minibatches so they can be noisy!

$$
L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(x_i, y_i, W)
$$

$$
\nabla_W L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_W L_i(x_i, y_i, W)
$$

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Lecture 3 - 34 April 05, 2022

SGD + Momentum

Local Minima Saddle points Poor Conditioning

Gradient Noise

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Lecture 3 - 35 April 05, 2022

SGD: the simple two line update code

SGD

$$
x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)
$$

while True: $dx = compute_gradient(x)$ x -= learning rate $*$ dx

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Lecture 3 - 36 April 05, 2022

SGD + Momentum: continue moving in the general direction as the previous iterations SGD SGD+Momentum

$$
x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)
$$

 $v_{t+1} = \rho v_t + \nabla f(x_t)$ $x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha v_{t+1}$

while True:

 $dx = compute_gradient(x)$ $x \rightarrow$ learning rate $* dx$

- Build up "velocity" as a running mean of gradients
- Rho gives "friction"; typically rho=0.9 or 0.99

Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Lecture 3 - 37 April 05, 2022

SGD + Momentum: continue moving in the general direction as the previous iterations SGD SGD+Momentum

$$
x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)
$$

while True: $dx = compute_gradient(x)$ $x \rightarrow$ learning rate $* dx$

 $v_{t+1} = \rho v_t + \nabla f(x_t)$ $x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha v_{t+1}$ $vx = 0$ while True: $dx =$ compute gradient(x) $vx = rho * vx + dx$ x -= learning rate $*$ vx

- Build up "velocity" as a running mean of gradients
- Rho gives "friction"; typically rho=0.9 or 0.99

Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Lecture 3 - 38 April 05, 2022

 $grad$ _squared = θ while True: $dx = compute_gradient(x)$ $grad_square$ += $dx * dx$ x -= learning_rate * dx / (np.sqrt(grad_squared) + 1e-7)

> Added element-wise scaling of the gradient based on the historical sum of squares in each dimension

"Per-parameter learning rates" or "adaptive learning rates"

Duchi et al, "Adaptive subgradient methods for online learning and stochastic optimization", JMLR 2011

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Semesidae kindly specified by Fei Fei Ligius Wu, Frik Lecture 3 - 39 April 05, 2022 Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

Q: What happens with AdaGrad?

Q: What happens with AdaGrad? Progress along "steep" directions is damped;
meanses along "flat" directions is accelerated

progress along "flat" directions is accelerated

Q2: What happens to the step size over long time?

Q2: What happens to the step size over long time? Decays to zero

RMSProp: "Leaky AdaGrad"

Tieleman and Hinton, 2012

RMSProp

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Lindiup Wu, Frik Learned-Miller **System Concerned Concerned Concerned April 05**, 2022 Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

Adam (almost)

```
first moment = \thetasecond moment = \thetawhile True:
  dx = compute_gradient(x)first_moment = beta1 * first_moment + (1 - beta1) * dx
  second_moment = beta2 * second_moment + (1 - \text{beta2}) * dx * dx
  x = learning_rate * first_moment / (np.sqrt(second_moment) + 1e-7)
```
Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Semesidae kindly provided by Fei Fei Litius Wy, Frik Lecture 3 - 46 April 05, 2022 Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

Adam (almost)

Sort of like RMSProp with momentum

Q: What happens at first timestep?

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam (full form)

Bias correction for the fact that first and second moment estimates start at zero

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam (full form)

Bias correction for the fact that first and second moment estimates start at zero

Adam with beta1 = 0.9 . beta2 = 0.999 , and learning rate = 1e-3 or 5e-4 is a great starting point for many models!

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Seme elider kindly provided by Fei Ecil Libiup Wy, Frik Lecture 3 - 49 April 05, 2022 Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

Adam

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Lecture 3 - 50 April 05, 2022

Learning rate schedules

Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Ruohan Gao \overline{a} Lecture 454 Sep 12, 2024

Lecture 454

SGD, SGD+Momentum, Adagrad, RMSProp, Adam all have **learning rate** as a hyperparameter.

Q: Which one of these learning rates is best to use?

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Semesidae kindu republik Fej Fej Li Jinjun Wu, Frik Leerned Miller **State Leed Lecture 3 - 52** April 05, 2022 Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller

SGD, SGD+Momentum, Adagrad, RMSProp, Adam all have **learning rate** as a hyperparameter.

Q: Which one of these learning rates is best to use?

A: In reality, all of these are good learning rates.

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
_{Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller} Lecture 3 - 53 April 05, 2022

Learning rate decays over time

Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Subhransu Maji, Chuang Gan and TAs
Semesidae kindu republish Fei Fei Libiup Wu, Frik Leerped Miller **State Leed Lecture 3 - 54** April 05, 2022 Some slides kindly provided by Fei-Fei Li, Jiajun Wu, Erik Learned-Miller